Filed 11/15/2007

Page 1 of 6

Case 4:07-cv-00113-SBA Document 73

C07 0113 (MJJ-SBA)

28

appeal. However, plaintiff (also, appellant) argues and believes that, because he is

the exact creator, author and decider as to the existence of (any) appeal, he has the

right to bestow "power of attorney," or suggest or even "assign jurisdiction" in the regard that he may, with a potential real result, ask the district court to get their heads together with the Ninth Circuit court of appeal and indicate to the the appeal court (if the district court finally sees it as I--the correctness of recusal by S.B.A.) that, yes, the judge should have recused herself, and ask the appeal court to stay any appeal proceedings while the original case in district court is heard by any other judge. (The appeal may or may not be resumed depending on the reassigned judge in the district court.) Never hurts to ask; and I'm asking the Oakland District Court to do exactly this, and upon review of this motion.

With my signature below I confer now to the Oakland federal U.S. District Court the power of attorney in my case, appeal or otherwise, to ask, and as not unreasonable, the foregoing of the Ninth Appeal Court--to stay appeal proceedings--until the district court has reassigned another judge and the plaintiff's amended complaint is considered by a reassigned judge with the force and effect of an original proceeding at the district court level.

Dated\_\_\_\_\_\_ in pro se

225 Brevus St.
Crescent City, CA 95531

**EXHIBIT A** 

1 | John Gimbel 225 Brevus St. Crescent City CA 3 | 95531 707.464.5908 4 | Plaintiff, in pro se

## JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

JOHN GIMBEL

Complainant's name

CASE NO.: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND DISABILITY IN C07 0113 SBA

11

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Name of judge being complained about

Judge's court: Oakland.

SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG

Behavior of judge in C07 0113 (SBA) (Saundra Brown Armstrong).

Complainant is the in pro se plaintiff in the indicated case number.

Complainant has not filed a lawsuit against the judge.

There have been no appeals in the case.

Present status of suit: apparent order on motions and amended motions to dismiss complaint had been granted by the judge in question, Saundra Armstrong Brown.

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

Very simple. Plaintiff filed initially in S.F. court under Martin J. Jenkins (black judge). Defendants' motions were granted with leave for plaintiff to amend. In plaintiff's amended complaint, he did use some expressly racist-sounding language. The judge did recuse himself, obviously because he's black. Plaintiff did

come back later in his Combined Oppositions to Defedants' Motions to Dismiss Amended Complaint with a personal letter consoling Mr. Jenkins in every way he could that he was no racist, but was just trying to generate a wake-up call, attention grabber, to being 100% certain that Mr. Jenkins was a First Amendment closet case, and/or that he had, obviously, done extremely few, or none, First Amendment cases.

The case was reassigned to Oakland under Saundra Brown Armstrong.

Because she is also black, she should clearly also recuse herself. She has even issued a "snipey headed order," with her ostensible pun in it, "with prejudice" against Mr. Gimbel, plaintiff, the phrase she includes in her order granting motions to dismiss amended complaint.

For relief in this, I simply ask she recuse herself, and let the motions to dismiss amended complaint in C07 0113 (MJJ-SBA) simply be assigned a judge not likely to wreak vengeance, and miscarriage to all requests for relief in the indicated action, upon the plaintiff for some language he used. I'll allow it to be called "disability." She probably can't help herself.

If you read my complaint and exhibits, I starve until this action is over. Drawing it out that I do (starve) apparently doesn't bother anybody around here.

Let's get on with a recuse and have the motions she viewed reassigned to another judge. I will be forward-looking in this matter, and not punitive-minded per Rule 1(a). She probably couldn't help herself, but we need to do this with another judge--no questions please.

I thank you.

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read rules 1 and 2 of the Rules of the Judicial Council of the Ninth Circuit Governing Complaints of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, and the statements made in this complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Dated:

JOHN GIMBEL, complainant, in pro se

Complaint for Judicial Conduct or Disability

## ROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL, PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, OR FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of Del Norte County; I am over the age of eighteen (18) years; my address is 1533 Oregon St., Crescent City, CA 95531.

(for Del Norte sheriff dept. and dist. att.) 45 814 Seventh St.	elope(s) with postage thereon fully prepaid, for ervice at Crescent City, California, where it would
(for Del Norte sheriff dept. and dist. att.)  814 Seventh St. Eureka, CA  95502  Attny William Ayres, Randall Harr ((for Crescent City police dept.(& agents of city))  1415 Court St. Redding, CA  96001  ((Ronald V. Dellums Federal bldg. Suite 400 South  1301 Clay Street	5 Golden Gate Ave. Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA
((for Crescent City police dept.(& agents of city)) 1415 Court St. Redding, CA 96001  ((Ronald V. Dellums Federal bldg. Suite 400 South 1301 Clay Street	
Suite 400 South	
By personally delivering a copy of said do following address(es):	zument(s) on the party(ies)named below at the
By following the ordinary business practic the above documents for deposit that same day in a bound Express, in an envelope or package designate or provided for as follows:	
By transmitting by facsimile machine, fro named below at the facsimile machine telephone numused complied with California Rules of Court, rule 20 machine. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 21 transmission record of the transmission, a copy of white	03, and no error was reported by the 006(d), I caused the machine to print a
Name: Face Name: Face	simile No:
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws foregoing is true and correct. Executed this day of No.	ovember 10,2007 at Crescent City, California.